



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,178	07/16/2003	Douglas S. Horne	8603.63	2354
21999	7590	02/12/2008	EXAMINER	
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3736		
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/621,178	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HORNE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA. (3) Mike Krieger.

(2) Adam Stevens. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 7-14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kenan et al. (US 6,788,966 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed a draft response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 11/20/2007. The Examiner indicated that the response appeared to obviate the objections to the claims and drawings. Applicant and Examiner discussed proposed claim language that appeared to obviate the current rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian Szmal/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3736  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.